

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,683	02/26/2002	Peter Loc	MP0120	1548
26703 7	590 12/13/2005		EXAMINER	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DICKEY & PIERCE	TRAN, PHUC H		
5445 CORPORATE DRIVE SUITE 400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TROY, MI 4	8098		2668	

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	c X					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/085,683	LOC ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	PHUC H. TRAN	2668				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MOTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 F	<u>ebruary 2002</u> .					
	s action is non-final.					
·	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-83 is/are pending in the application	i .					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,8-11,14,19,27-29,32,37,43-46,50,54,62,63,72 and 75-83</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>2-7,12,13,15-18,20-26,30,31,33-36,38-42,47-49,51-53,55-61 and 65-71</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) \square objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•	· ·			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,	, (=, =, (-,-				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National	l Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	4) T 1-4	(DTO 442)				
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	ate				
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal F		O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/26/02</u> .	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/085,683 Page 2

Art Unit: 2668

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 74-83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- In claim 74 line 4, "a second node" is not clear since applicant did not recite a first node in the claim. The same is true with the term "a third node 'recited in claim 78 and "said second node" recited in claim 83.

Claims 75-77 and 79-82 are rejected since they depend from claim 74.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/085,683 Page 3

Art Unit: 2668

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1,14,19,32,37,50,54,62-64,7273,74-75, and 82-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meier (2005/0232213) in view of Meier (6,714,559).

For claims 1,14,19,32,37,50,54,62-64,7273,74-75, and 82-84, Meier (2005/0232213) disclose a system comprising:

a plurality of nodes that transmit and receive radio frequency (RF) signals (see paragraphs 0025 and 0026); and

an access point that transmits and receives radio frequency (RF) signals, that wirelessly communicates with said plurality of nodes, that generates a table containing a list of nodes operating in said wireless network, and that broadcasts said table to said plurality of nodes (see paragraph 0044).

For claims 1,14,19,32,37,50,54,62-64,7273,74-75, and 82-84, Meier (2005/0232213) disclose all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of determining a hidden status of the second node in the table in a communications network. Meier (6,714,559) from the same or similar fields of endeavor teaches a provision of determining the hidden status of the second node in the table (see column 7 lines 21-28). Thus, it would have been obvious to

Application/Control Number: 10/085,683 Page 4

Art Unit: 2668

the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use transmission rate, delay variation and maximum rate as taught by Meier (6,714,559) in the communications network of Meier (2005/0232213).

The hidden status of the second node in the table can be implemented/modified into the network of Meier (2005/0232213) since the reference does teach the table. The motivation for using a hidden status of the second node in the table as taught by Meier (6,714,559) into the communications network of Meier (2005/0232213) being that it provides directly connect to the second node without using the access point and it can save bandwidth.

- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 8-11,27-29, and 43-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meier (2005/0232213) in view of Meier (6,714,559) as applied to Claims 1,14,19,32,37,50,54,62-64,7273,74-75, and 82-84 above, and further in view of Connor (2004/0221080).

For claims 8-11,27-29, and 43-46, Meier (2005/0232213) and Meier (6,714,559) disclose all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of including a packet counter and byte counter in a communications network. Connor (2004/0221080) from the same or similar fields of endeavor teaches a provision of determining the hidden status of the second node in the table (see paragraph 0027). Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a packet counter and byte counter as taught by Connor (2004/0221080) in the communications network of Meier (2005/0232213) and Meier (6,714,559)

The packet counter and byte counter can be implemented/modified into the network of Meier (2005/0232213) and Meier (6,714,559) since the reference does teach bandwidth allocation. The motivation for using the packet counter and byte counter as taught by Connor (2004/0221080) into the communications network of Meier (2005/0232213) and Meier (6,714,559) being that it provides the system more reliable since it prevents congestion the access point.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 76-81 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 2-7,12-13,15-18,20-26,30-31,33-36,38-42,47-49,51-53,55-61,65-71 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims

Art Unit: 2668

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Connor (2003/0061426) is cited to show a system which is considered pertinent to the claimed invention.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHUC H. TRAN whose telephone number is (571) 272-3172. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh M. Fan can be reached on (571) 272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuc Tran Assistant Examiner Art Unit 2664

P.t 12/05/05

Paris value